UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

Middle District of Pennsylvania

FEB 2 2 2012

United States of America) MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK	
V. JAMES A. BROWN, JR. Defendant	Deputy Clerk Case No. 1:11-MJ-/39	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	dings of Fact	
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offer	se that would have been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) e.	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
☐ a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),	peen convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	t involves:	
☐ a minor victim		
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or des	tructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22		
	ted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the date of conviction the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
	ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the other than the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
Alternative Findings (A)		
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen		
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in .	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Middle District of Pennsylvania

	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.
_	Alternative Findings (B)
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
the s	appearance of the defendant. safety of another person or the community.
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and	
convincin	g evidence □ a preponderance of the evidence that
 	Defendant faciled to appear for sentencing

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: 2-27-2017 Martin C. Carlor

Martin C. Carlson, U.S. Magistrate Judge